COOMWA Public Records Requests To: King County

Request	Anticipated Response	Impact
Monitoring contracts required	Over 3,000 property owners with new OSS since 2007	Owners cannot take responsibility for system
by the County between OSS	have been required to make contracts with private	monitoring. Contracts cover monitoring of new
owners and private services.	monitoring companies to receive final permit to use their	systems that should have service length exceeding one
	OSS systems.	year. Cost to the owner is between \$60-1,500
		annually.
Sources in the contamination	The number of contaminated water wells from OSS is	Claims of contamination and spreading of disease by
of water wells	limited to anecdotal situations that are identified quickly	OSS is unfounded. Such misinformation demonizes
	via water well testing.	OSS, bringing down the value of private property.
Complaints made about OSS	King County claims of 4,000 complaints in 14 years	OSS generate few complaints. Less than ½ of 1% of
	includes multiple complaints about the same OSS or	the OSS in King County. Proper referral to service
	issues best referred to private contractors. Percentage of	agencies would mitigate impact on County staff.
	OSS generating complaints a small %	
Justification for failing	Failed stress tests due improper test administration.	Requirement to replace and upgrade systems at a cost
systems	Changing standards for soil content and volume of water	of \$25-60,000. Property sales have been denied based
	usage.	on required upgrades or sewer tie-ins.
Tests used to make claims of	Pollution is defined by presence of coliform and nitrogen	Claims that OSS are a source of pollution are
pollution	that could be left by animals, water fowl, leaking sewers,	unfounded and not supported by scientific data.
	biosolids. Most tests cannot differentiate or identify	Unfounded claims have been used to justify OSS
	OSS as a source of pollution	failures, required upgrades, outrageous expenses,
		detraction from true sources of pollution (CSO).
Sources of pollution causing	70% of pollution in Puget Sound is from Combined	OSS have not been scientifically identified as a
the closures of beaches and	System Overflow (CS0), the overflow of raw sewage	pollution source. Most OSS are miles from the
fishing areas	from waste treatment plants. EPA citations have	shoreline. Claims that OSS are "A Primary Source of
	documented pollution from CSO.	Pollution" are false and should not be used as
		justification for regulations or enhanced standards.
Justification for exclusion of	New standards and stress tests exclude the possibility of	Huge expenses to OSS owners, chasing ever-increasing
gravity septic systems as an	repairing or replacing gravity systems.	standards. Increased cost of housing. Financial
option for OSS replacement.		devastation for over 88,000 families.